

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
10/070,374	05/21/2002	THE THAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
		Egil Lundberg	2002-0266A	2795
	590 11/18/2004		EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			BELL, BRUCE F	
			·	
	N, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	11, DC 20000-1021		1746	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1/4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/070,374	LUNDBERG, EGIL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAUING DATE of this	Bruce F. Bell	1746
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. i, a reply within the statutory minimum of this period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI contains a content to the	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
 Since this application is in condition for all 	lowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex part</i> e Q <i>uayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 6-13 is/are pending in the applica	afion	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Total de la constant	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-13</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 May 2002 is/are:	: a)⊠ accepted or h)⊡ object	ed to by the Evenines
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ed to by the Examiner.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing/	s) is objected to Soc 27 CED 4 404(-1)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ents have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority document	ents have been received in An	plication No.
5. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.
tachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/6/02 & 5/21/02	Paper No(s)/I 08) 5) ☐ Notice of Info 6) ☐ Other:	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
atent and Trademark Office	·	

Application/Control Number: 10/070,374

Art Unit: 1746

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 6-9 are vague and indefinite with respect to how the dominant direction of electric current being mainly oriented to coincide with the direction of the forced compression has anything to do with the method of producing a carbon electrode. The examiner is taking the position that this concept does not correspond with the method of producing the carbon electrode and therefore, examination of the claims will not be based on this concept.

Claims 10-13 are vague and indefinite with respect to there being at least on electrical connector arranged in the electrode. The examiner can not find this concept in the instant specification. Clarification is requested.

- The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to

one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Newly added claims 10-13 have the subject matter with respect to there being at least one electrical connector that is arranged in the electrode in such a manner that the dominant direction of electric current in relation to the carbon electrode, when in use mainly does not coincide with the direction of the forced compression. The examiner can not find this concept anywhere in the specification or in the original claims as set forth. Therefore, the addition of these newly submitted claims appear to be "new matter".

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. The following section headings should be placed before each respective portion of the specification:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (d) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (e) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (f) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (h) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/070,374

Art Unit: 1746

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hori et al (6,353,528).

Hori et al disclose a process for manufacturing a solid activated carbon suitable for use as an electrode. See abstract. The process for manufacturing the carbon comprises molding a molding material consisting of an activated carbon pwder and/or activated carbon fiber, a PVA or a resin derived from PVA or a mixture of PVA or a resin derived from PVA and a mesophase using a known molding method, aging the molded compact in air and heat treating the aged compact in a non-oxidizing atmosphere. The mixture is either dry pressed or roll formed. See col. 5, lines 63-67. Various method of molding the carbon material can be used, such as compression molding. See col. 9, lines 46-51. The molded carbon is aged and heat treated to promote sufficient carburization of the resin and to advance calcinations of the neck portion of the activated carbon powder or fiber

Art Unit: 1746

thereby imparting sufficient strength to the solid activated carbon. See col. 9, line 65 – col. 10, line 3.

Hori et al anticipates the applicant's instant invention as set forth in claims 6 and 10. The prior art of Hori et al discloses producing a solid activated carbon to be used as an electrode that is forced compressed in a molding method and is carburized and calcinated to form the activated carbon material. The finished activated carbon material as set forth in applicant's instant claim as set forth is anticipated by that of the Hori et al patent, since the green carbon is molded and compressed in the same manner as applicant's instant invention and then is carburized and calcined to form the final product which will be the same as that produced by applicant's since the same procedures are used. In claim 10, the one electrical connector appears to be the carbon powder or fibers, since carbon is known to be an electrical material. Therefore, the prior art of Hori et al anticipates the applicant's instant invention as set forth.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce F. Bell whose telephone number is 571-272-1296. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,374

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BFB November 15, 2004 Bruce F. Bell Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

Page 6